



Dacia Jogger Standard Safety Equipment

2021





Adult Occupant



70%





Safety Assist

69%

Vulnerable Road Users



41%



39%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Dacia Sandero Stepway 1.0TCe, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2021
Kerb Weight	1111kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Joggers
Class	Supermini



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•		×
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	×	*	×
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	•
Side chest airbag	•	•	×
Side pelvis airbag	•	•	×
Centre Airbag	×	×	×

Euro NCAP © Dacia Jogger March 2022 2/17



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	_	×	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•

OTHER SYSTEMS				
Active Bonnet	×			
AEB Vulnerable Road Users	×			
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse	×			
AEB Car-to-Car	•			
Speed Assistance	•			
Lane Assist System	×			

Note: Other equipment m	nay be available on the	e vehicle but was not	considered in the test year.

Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
-----------------------------------	--


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 26.6 Pts / 70%











Total 26.6 Pts / 70%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	
Rescue and Extrication					0.5 / 2 Pts
	Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant			POF
	Advanced eCall	Not available			
	Multi Collision Brake	Not available			

Comments

The passenger compartment remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated protection that was at least adequate for the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. However, structures in the dashboard presented a risk of injury to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions, and protection for this part of the body was downgraded to marginal. Chest protection was also rated as marginal for both front seat occupants, based on dummy readings of chest compression. Analysis of the deformable barrier after the test revealed that it would be a benign crash opponent. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the front seat driver and rear seat passenger was at least adequate for all critical parts of the body. In the side barrier test, representing an impact by another vehicle, chest compression indicated a marginal level of protection. In the side pole test, protection of all critical body areas was rated as good or adequate. However, the side curtain airbag does not extend back far enough to provide protection for occupants in the optional third row seats so the Jogger lost points compared to the Sandero Stepway on which the rating is based. An assessment of the excursion of an occupant in a far-side impact showed poor protection and the car does not have a counter-measure, such as a centre airbag, for this accident type. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. However, a geometric analysis of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection.



Total 34.1 Pts / 69%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

18.6 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP*Restraint for 10 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP (Booster only)*

Safety Features 5.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center	3rd row outboard *
Isofix	×	•	×	×
i-Size	×	•	×	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×	×

* Third row seats available as option

■ Fitted to test car as standard
O Not on test car but available as option
X Not available



CRS Installation Check 10.5 / 12 Pts




i-Size CRS











ISOFIX CRS









Total 34.1 Pts / 69%

Universal Belted CRS











Total 34.1 Pts / 69%

	Seat Position					
	Front		2nd row		3rd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (i-Size)	_	•	_	•	_	_
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (i-Size)	_	•	_	•	_	_
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (i-Size)	_	•	_	•	_	_
Britax Römer TriFix2 i-Size (i-Size)	_	•	_	•	_	_
BeSafe iZi Flex FIX i-Size (i-Size)	_	•	_	•	_	_
BeSafe iZi Combi X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•	_	_
Cybex Solution Zi-Fix (ISOFIX)	•	•	_	•	_	_
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & Easyfix (Belt)	•	•	×	•	•	•
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Cybex Solution Zi-Fix (Belt)	•	•	•	•	•	•

Install without problem

Install with care

Safety critical problem

🗶 Installation not allowed

— Not available

Comments

In the frontal offset test, protection of the chest and neck of the 10 year dummy was rated as weak, based on dummy readings during the impact. For the 6 year dummy, protection of the neck was marginal while that of the head and chest was adequate and good respectively. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. One CRS, a full sized rearward facing toddler restraint, could be fitted in the car with no problem but was deemed a fail because Dacia indicate in the user manual that the car cannot accommodate this (R3) category of restraint. One type of universal restraint could not be properly fitted in the optional third row seats. Otherwise, restraint systems could be installed and accommodated properly.





Total 22.2 Pts / 41%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

Pedestrian 22.2 / 36 Pts



Head Impact	14.1 Pts
Pelvis Impact	2.3 Pts
Leg Impact	5.8 Pts

Vulnerable Road Users 0.0 / 18 Pts




VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 22.2 Pts / 41%

Comments

The protection provided by the bonnet to the head of a struck pedestrian was predominantly good or adequate with poor results recorded at the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper provided good or adequate protection to pedestrians' legs at all test locations. However, protection of the pelvis was poor over much of the width of the car. The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system of the Jogger does not detect vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists.









Total 6.4 Pts / 39%

Lane Support 0 Pts

AEB Car-to-Car 4.5 / 6 Pts

System Name	Active Emergency Braking
Туре	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	7 km/h
Sensor Used	Radar only






Total 6.4 Pts / 39%

Autobrake function only

Test car turns across the path of an approaching car






Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car






SAFETY ASSIST


Total 6.4 Pts / 39%

Driver reacts to warning


Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a braking car



Approaching a stationary car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car







Total 6.4 Pts / 39%

Comments

A seat belt reminder system is standard for the front and rear seats. However, there is no reminder for the seat belts in the optional third row, so the Jogger loses points. A driver-set speed limiter is also standard but the Jogger provides no lane assistance. The AEB system performed well in tests of its response to other vehicles with accidents avoided or mitigated in many cases.



R	Δ٦	ГΠ	N	G `	V	ΔΙ		\Box	T	Ή
1	$\overline{}$		v	u	v /	¬.	_			

Variants of Model Range

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome			
April 2022	Rating Published	2021 🛨 🖒 🖒 🖒 🏠	✓		